



2026 March LEC
Lodge Executive Committee

March
14th,
2026

10:00 am EST/ 9:00 am CST

→ Attendees (youth reps.)

Lodge Officers:

- Lodge Chief
- Vice Chief of Administration
- Vice Chief of Membership
- Vice Chief of Service
- Secretary
- Treasurer

Chapters:

- Awsu Netopolis
- Four Fires
- Iron Feather
- Seneca
- Tseyedin
- Twin Buffalo
- Wapiti

Service Committees:

- Ceremonies
- Culinary
- Elangomat
- Camp Service

Admin Committees:

- Spring Pow-Wow
- Trade-O-Ree
- Fall Fellowship
- Training
- Winter Banquet

Membership Committees:

- Brotherhood
- Vigil
- Unit Elections and Camp Promotions

Secretary Committees:

- Content Creation
- Digital Media
- Print Publications

Treasury Committees:

- Trading Post

Special Committees:

- Registration

→ Agenda

1) Welcome

- a) Role Call Abby Carrier
- b) Opening Business

- c) Lodge Chief Remarks

- d) Minutes Abby Carrier
Check the website under “Lodge News” for the minutes.
- e) Financial Report Ayden Skees
[Lodge Budget Report as of Feb 28 2026](#)
[Budget as of Feb 28](#)
- f) Membership Report Taylor Geist

2) Committee Reports

- a) Vice Chief of Administration Dylan Skees
Doing good, and on top of everything. Biggest worry is Trade-O-Ree
 - i) Spring Pow Wow
We did it! Weekend went really well, and committee members put in a lot of work.
 - ii) Trade-O-Ree

 - iii) Fall Fellowship
Working on the next meeting.
 - iv) Training
Taking what he saw at Spring Fellowship. If you want to join the committee please reach out.
 - v) Winter Banquet
Feels like last year's winter banquet was a bit iffy, make this year a lot smoother.

- b) Vice Chief of Membership Taylor Geist
 - i) Brotherhood
16 members did Brotherhood last night. Brotherhood workshop did well. Some stuff to work on with questions and such. The members understood what Brotherhood was.
 - ii) Vigil
Vigil nomination forms are open on the website and are due May 1st. Get them in quickly.
 - iii) Unit Elections/Camp Promotions

Still need all chapters contact for elections. A member cannot assist with an election with their own troop. Plan to do interviews for the election committee in May ordeal. Got promo video to start and work on.

- c) Vice Chief of Service Rhett Chanda
Got all 30 day outs scheduled for spring ordeals. Working on getting more meetings with the committee to finalize schedules.
- i) Ceremonies
Working on a finalized application and interest form for ceremonies. Taking donations of tools to help get firebuilding to go better.
 - ii) Culinary
This weekend went well, and going to start planning on ordeal menus.
 - iii) Elangomat
Still working on getting more elangomats. Have 16 right now, but working on getting more.
 - iv) Camp Service
Next weekend will be Trade-O-Ree, and working with Crooked Creek and Pfeffer to get ideas for ordeal jobs.
- d) Secretary Abby Carrier
Busy this weekend for sure. Did a lot of updates on the website.
- i) Content Creation
Content creation is going really well. The secretary request form is really helpful to keep up with posts. When you use it please put as much information you need.
 - ii) Digital Media
 - iii) Print Publications
Going really smoothly. Had the opportunity to work on and reformat the newsletter and get more information. Feel free to reach out for the newsletter if you want a page.
- e) Treasurer Ayden Skees
Did really good on the Trading post.
- i) Trading Post
**Between registration and trading post made
Made 170 from hats. Now a spot on the website to visit the rules and details.**
- f) Special Committees Grayson Lightfoot
- i) Registration
Still working on getting more people to join the committee. Working with Secretary and events to get info out smoother and more efficiently.

3) Chapter Reports

Taylor Geist

a) Awsu Netopolis

Last meeting was mostly getting rides for conclave and this weekend. 18 went to powwow, 4 got brotherhood, and 10 plan to go to conclave. Have service planned for the chapter.

b) Four Fires

Last month was the first meeting of the year. Went over plans for the year and plan to make a chapter flag for ordeals. Talked about designs for swag. Have at least 20 active members and meetings. Fun events planned.

c) Iron Feather

The last chapter meeting was smaller, due to a large member portion being sick. Over double the amount of people from iron feather from spring fellowship last year to this year.

d) Seneca

Slow start this year. Working on getting meetings scheduled. Got seneca t-shirts. Have a unit election in eight days.

e) Tseyedin

The last meeting was really good, with a record amount of people from the past year. Starting to get more activity and engagement, and stating election team prep to get people more involved and confident in doing that. Working on chapter logo. Going to do cookout challenge, and have fun events.

f) Twin Buffalo

g) Wapiti

Had three chapter meetings so far. Working on election teams. Scheduling elections with units. Will have more elections than last year.

4) Old Business

Grayson Lightfoot

a) Parliamentary procedure update

Grayson Lightfoot

**Gave an announcement on slack the week before the February LEC meeting and an announcement on zoom. The sentiment regarding parliamentary procedure hasn't changed, and is still under interpretation with key three and had the opportunity to talk with the officers. Came to the conclusion that it lies within us for the respect and dissent. Make sure everyone is communicating effectively, and the issue does not lie within parliamentary procedure. Parliamentary procedure is used just to better control our meetings. There are trainings for this. Our Lodge is going to keep what is in our bylaws with minimal changes. Make sure there is a deeper understanding within our Lodge with this procedure.
Opened to questions.**

Kiara states that she knows a lot of people would like a simpler document. She asks why we aren't considering it.

Grayson replies that its main intent is to prevent dissent. He states that Robert's Rules of Order has existed since the 1800s and it is streamlined to prevent dissent. Robert's Rules of Order helps keep communication. A simpler document is good for base meetings, and if an issue arises not covered by bylaws, Robert's Rules of Order comes into effect.

Kiara replies that she understands that, but that is what we've been saying for the past LECs and heard people saying even in this LEC that despite that, the people still want a simpler document. She states that she doesn't think we should feel the need to have a huge document that we couldn't possibly know. If we have already addressed the issues like previously mentioned, and we still feel the need to have a simpler document, why can't we propose some things and look at other options rather than just decreeing that we can't consider other options.

Grayson reiterates that it's not to make any kind of decree, but rather to close the issue. It is the issue with the lodge and the committee, not parliamentary procedure. Recommend a simpler document as a teaching aid for training. Provided in the LEC channel.

Kiara asks if we're using simplified documents to understand the LEC, why not use it for the LEC.

Grayson says it's a teaching aid to help us understand what we use. We use a more complex document to deal with more complex and controversial issues. Teaching it helps us better understand what a day-to-day meeting would run like, not when it handles dissent.

Rhett says that Robert's Rules is not a good document when it comes down to debating on the meeting. It's impractical even if we are having communication issues and need to fall back, we can't sift through that many pages within the proper time frame. Would like a simpler document proposed to address. Gave an example about the November LEC where the LEC argued on what $\frac{2}{3}$ meant in the Bylaws for around 30 minutes. He reiterates that Robert's Rules of Order, with it being so long, is not a good base document. Believes that it is best for the efficiency of our Lodge and the youth in it to go for a simpler document, and try out simpler documents that can be recommended at a future meeting or two just to see how it works out. So that we don't have the recurring issues of a lack of effective communication.

Grayson states that had an officer meeting discussing that. A simpler document is easier to understand, but doesn't provide a fall back when dissent happens.

November LEC was not a good meeting that we should have followed. There will always be a disagreement in something. You can debate on the meaning of a single sentence or debate the meaning of a number of things, but that isn't where the problem was. The problem lies in the fact that we come to these meetings and debate without communicating effectively.

Rhett states that we cannot sit and debate over 1000 pages on what things mean at the LEC. It's nice to have that much detail, but we can't sit here and debate over a single sentence buried in over 1000 pages. A document with 15-20 pages would be enough to fall back on and easier to fall back on.

Caden says that the whole reason we are using roberts rules is to protect dissent, so why not make a document that handles that dissent. In previous LECs the issue of not understanding the document is the reason why we need a simplified document.

Grayson says that in terms of understanding we have trainings.

Caleb states that the document is super lengthy to understand all of roberts rules.

You can do training, but there is only so much you can do in a 30 minute to an hour training session. He comes from FFA with a simplified document that has kept it respectful and if we use a simplified document it can help keep the order in the lodge. As Scouts we are supposed to be courteous and respectful. He has only been on the LEC three times, and it sounds like it's been an issue before his time. We got more things to do, this isn't about us, it's about the people we serve. If we do a simplified document, it's going to be more efficient. Everyone will get along a lot better with that. Understand why we have the document, but getting the values of the OA and scouting.

Vaughn says that for clarification, the document was to fall back on the dissent, but it kind of caused the dissent. At the November LEC, it caused more dissent. Once again using the example that at that LEC we were trying to refer to the whole lengthy document clarifying on what $\frac{3}{4}$ meant, and both sides were using it as confirmation bias to back up their side of the argument. That just makes things more confusing as people try to find certain phrases and try to interpret it. He states that he's trying to see some clarification on that.

Grayson replies that the November LEC was not handled in a way that he or anyone else on the LEC would have liked. There was a disagreement that led to an argument and that wasn't something we wanted to see happen. We should have tabled the issue for like 10 minutes and come back to debate respectfully.

Vaughn states that it is the best example of a time of dissent because that was us at our lowest form, like a storming stage. The November LEC was an important part that represents a time that we would have been better off with a simplified document when dealing with dissent.

Rhett says that every solution for our disagreements at LEC meetings shouldn't be to stop and table it for later when there is a much simpler solution, and that is finding a simpler document. He mentions back to Vaughn and says that the November LEC is the best example because it shows the faults in the document itself and the purpose for our LEC. At the end of the day, we are Boy Scouts, it's not Congress or legislature, and at the end of the day, we just want to go home. Robert's Rules of Order just adds too much inefficiency and length to everything that we do.

Jon says that in the past, Robert's rules seemed to have caused more problems with the LEC specifically. Even in previous meetings before he was on the LEC it just seems

that Robert's Rules is the problem because of things being brought up that other people don't know. It's too long of a document for everybody to know every single line. We need a shorter document that can be followed to follow the Scout Oath and Law. We can work to implement a smaller document and expand upon that document to suit this LEC.

Daniel Hanson stands and states that he wishes he didn't have to stand and speak, but that we've spent fifteen minutes now discussing this topic, and that he wants to read the vision of the OA. Daniel Hanson reads the vision "To be why Scouts stay in Scouting". He says to think about the last 15 minutes and think about the vision and how it applies to our discussion. To achieve the mission of Scouting America through transformative fellowship that ignites limitless scouting journeys. That is the vision and mission of this organization. We follow the Scout Oath and law and our organization is supposed to be the leaders within Scouting America. To spend fifteen minutes today and prior meetings discussing how a meeting is run and being hung up on the word document is not seeing the mission and the vision. He states that he doesn't know why the term document is a problem. Robert's Rules has been in place in this Lodge for years. It's as simple as witnessing a motion being made, seconded, and then voted on. He doesn't know why the word document is being hung up on. He states that It needs to stop. We need to re-evaluate why we are in this body, why we're in this organization, and how it's positively affecting Scouting. We are not working together in this conversation. He states that we are not bettering Scouting. We need to be supportive of our Lodge Chief. We need to be supportive of our advisors. We need to be supporting of our officers and work together. There is a Scout Oath, there's a Scout Law, and it's pretty simple. But this discussion has been the two hour officer meeting yesterday. It was a November LEC, it was a February LEC, there were a lot of meetings and other things. He asks what we have next weekend as a lodge, to which a response was said that it was Trade-O-Ree. He then asks how much have we discussed that event. He then says to think about it in that perspective. He then says that we're not going to continue to discuss this. He states that he understands Robert's rules is a long document and that he's not expecting anyone to memorize and understand all 1000 pages, but lots of organizations use Robert's Rules because it is the standard. He states that doesn't mean they debate how they use it. He says that we all follow the Scout Oath and Law. He refers back to Caleb stating that we all need to be courteous and kind to each other. He says this discussion is not helping that. It's not reflecting the Scout Law. He states that previous discussions aren't reflecting that and we need to work together to follow the mission and the vision of this organization. He then states that after this discussion, which is now ending, that we will continue to follow the rules of the Lodge, which is to use Robert's Rules. We will use Robert's Rules in a respectful manner, and that he doesn't expect anybody to be an expert on 1000 pages. He expects people to be able to make a motion, vote on the motion, and if we need to table a discussion because we need more information, we can make a table. He reiterates that we're hung up on one word "document". He

then states it's going to stop, and if it can't stop then there will be some discussions with the advisors. He then states that he needs everybody, needs advisors, needs youth, need advisors advising their youth to follow that. He then states that it ultimately comes down to the mission and vision of our organization and the Scout Law and Oath. He asks if we can all agree on that. He then asks to go back to the meeting to actually positively affect scouting and to move forward as an organization. He receives a lot of blank stares which he states concerns him.

He then asks for comments or concerns.

Kiara states that she was personally attacked with Robert's Rules of Order and her voice was silenced. She states that is why she would like to use a simpler document so that we can all agree on how we're using these in a respectful way. She was asked by the staff advisor (Daniel Hanson) if she had pursued other documents, to which she replied "yes"; she has a simple document that could be used.

Daniel Hanson replies that they could overlook that document, but not at this moment.

Brady states that there are enough hands in this room that do not want Robert's Rules. At the last meeting, which was on Zoom, Dylan asked for members to press that button if they did not want to be governed by Robert's Rules. $\frac{2}{3}$ of the youth raised their hands. He then reiterates that it was not an official vote by any sense, but a share of opinion. He states that shows enough sense of opinion that it is at least a conversation of there should be other things proposed and that should be used. He states that there are other lodges in our section that don't use Robert's Rules.

Daniel Hanson asked if anyone had provided solutions besides just spinning wheels. He then speaks that as far as he's aware there has not been any solutions provided and that is why we are in this situation. He then states that we need to be working together and that if you have a solution to bring it, but instead we have people just spinning wheels. Robert's Rules is in the bylaws, and in order to change the Bylaws that is another story, and that we are not going to do that at this point. He states that right now, this is a big issue that is affecting our Lodge trying to be effective with the Bylaws. He states that we are going to utilize the Bylaws. He then states that they should be updated at some point, yes, but today these are the Bylaws.

Brady then states that he's not saying we shouldn't use them today, but we've changed Bylaws before. The youth at this LEC have the power to do it. He states that we should at least have a discussion of looking at other documents that aren't just Robert's Rules.

He begins to state that the best thing to do, and Daniel attempts to speak while Brady is still talking, to which Brady lets him know he is still talking and continues.

Brady stated that we're in the middle of talking about it, and we can quit talking about it today, but we can't quit talking about it forever. There's enough people at this LEC that don't want Robert's Rules anymore. He states that over the next month we should be sending things to the LEC over Slack to show other options we can govern

our lodge by. That there's another option other than "Let's only talk about Robert's Rules 'yes or no'." Instead there'd be multiple options to choose from.

Daniel Hanson states that we have been kicking the can since November. He states that we have had opportunities.

Thomas Frost, a fellow advisor, goes to speak up, and Daniel Hanson tells him to please sit back down.

Daniel Hanson then continues to say that nobody has been taking that opportunity, so he will step in and take care of it. He reiterates that Robert's Rules is in the Bylaws and it's not a weapon. Robert's Rules is a tool. He states that he has been on the OA since 2000 and that he has never, in five different lodges, witnessed what he's witnessed and heard about with Robert's Rules in this Lodge. He states there is a bigger issue and that Robert's Rules is not an issue. The bigger issue of this Lodge is that we are not working together. He states that we are not respecting each other, we are having late night meetings to figure out how to spend five hours talking about this topic, we're having two hour meetings to talk about this topic. He states that instead of moving forward and following the mission and vision, If you can't follow the mission and the vision then you need to look in a mirror and decide that that's really what you should be doing. He states that if you can't follow that, then maybe your role on this board, and then this community is not right for you. He then states that's for the LEC to decide. He states that if you have struggles with the mission and vision of this organization, then you need to talk with your advisor. He then states that if you need to you can talk to Grayson, himself, or you can talk to anyone, but it's done. We're here to grow as scouts. We're here to be leaders and retain scouts. He then asks how many people want to be on this LEC hearing the debate about how we operate a meeting. A brand new ordeal member comes in and all they see is this. How would that make them feel? How does that make them want to be part of this organization? He states that we have done a lot of great things this year in growth and events, but this discussion is not helping. He then asks if there is an understanding. If people have comments and he then addresses Kiara stating that he apologizes that she feels she has been attacked by Robert's Rules, and that it is unfortunate, but that is not Robert's Rules, that's the Scout and that is respect. Ayden states that personally, he doesn't think it is being respectful using Robert's Rules as a weapon, especially in our LEC.

Angel Mattingly speaks up as Aydan is still speaking that it is a person who did that, not Robert's Rules.

Aydan states that he doesn't think anyone should be silenced over that.

Jon then states if the document can be used in that way, then why are we using it.

Angel then states that any document can be twisted to fit however someone wants to do.

Daniel Hanson then states that we should not be diving deep into the document. It should only be used to call meetings to order, to make motions, and to go on. If it is being weaponized by a youth then that is a whole different discussion. The issue that

Daniel Hanson is seeing is that youth are weaponizing the document and that he sees that the biggest reason we want a different document is so that it doesn't get weaponized against the youth. He then states that we were seeing issues with certain youth quoting the document and buying the entire book to quote every individual line when someone states something slightly out of order. The issue is people using it as a weapon and that is why we are so hung up on the word "document". He can respect if there are several youth using the document as a weapon and that is a different discussion. He then says that the mission and the vision of the organization is not following the document, it is to follow the Scout Law. We're finding faults in that.

Abby, after leaving the room for a moment, came back into the room to speak to Daniel Hanson. She states that in the officer meeting before the LEC, Daniel Hanson was not being very scout-like. In the discussion he has had, it seemed as though he was speaking in a way to diminish the youth and their voices. She stated that it is almost disrespectful with the tone he has been speaking, and it's not going to put any issue to bed. She then states that she walked out of the officer meeting unhappy with the way she was spoken to with how rude and disrespectful it felt. She then states that she shouldn't be walking away from her job of taking minutes because of how angry and upset she felt on the way he talked to the LEC and to our leadership. She then states she shouldn't be so upset about this situation and certainly didn't want to stand up in front of the LEC crying because of the way he was handling this. It's not helping the LEC in any way. She then states that he says that we aren't helping each other and the issue is because we need to talk about bigger things, and that is exactly what we are trying to do. She then states that as Brady tried to speak and you wanted to speak over a youth and how many times that has happened being so rude. She states that he says to follow the Scout Law, but he wasn't following the Scout Law. It was not Scout like.

Daniel Hanson then states that he appreciates Abby for keeping him in check, but the issue has continued to spiral. He states that he apologizes for that and that he was not trying to be demeaning and that he is trying to be respectful, but he's also trying to just end this, so we can move on to other things.

Rebecca Skees then spoke and said that the youth at this table have a passion for this lodge, and Roberts Rules of Order was being used to silence youth. She states that these kids wanted these positions because they saw the good in the Lodge. She states that she sat at a table with a lot of youth that do good in the OA and they are so busy. They do way more than what they're supposed to. There's a lot of youth working hard at that table, and that are speaking that don't usually speak. A lot of conversation happens. They're not asking for trouble, they are asking for the betterment of the group. Most of these scouts want the same thing. She states that she feels like no one is listening to the voices of the scouts who do not have anything other than the best interest for this program. They get stopped in every conversation. There have been many instances where the majority have been silenced. The youth are wanting

something more simple. They are all wanting the same thing. There are two people who do not. So we say take the word of two people over the entire rest of the LEC. She states that it is very rude and disrespectful that she has to watch the youth smack the table and leave the room because their voices are being stopped. She states that we are a youth program and that we are all volunteers not getting paid for this work. The people who are working for better change are getting silenced. She states that since November she has seen more and more youth in tears, leaving the room aggravated because everything they worked so hard for is gone, because nobody will listen to them. She states at some point voices have to be heard. She states she has listened to arguments of youth belittling each other and that we should stop and think of others. If you can't do that then you aren't a scout, don't be here. She states to help other people out and that she understands why Grayson wants Robert's Rules of Order. The youth aren't saying to get rid of it, they just want smaller. She states that people don't want to come anymore because of how upset they leave. We all want a simpler document that won't be used against us.

Mr. Hanson spoke that the youth are wanting a simpler document, but no one has brought forth anything as a solution.

Dylan spoke that a committee was formed to update the By-Laws. It was followed through a process. The committee voted on it, and the LEC voted on it. He said that this is a youth-led program.

Brady also spoke and said that the by-laws were presented at the November LEC, but couldn't be debated because the entire LEC meeting was used to discuss Robert's Rules of Order instead of the issue at hand.

Megan spoke and said that she has been on the LEC for 3 years and still doesn't understand Robert's Rules of Order.

Norman Eberhardt said that this issue is holding up the progress of the LEC. "Let's have meetings, make motions, and have votes, everyone have respect for each other.

Mr. Hanson spoke again and said that there is an abbreviated version of Robert's Rules of Order - 12th edition. He said that unfortunately, many LEC members were walking out and leaving the room while he's trying to provide solutions. He said there was no need to amend by-laws due to the abbreviated version. He asked if that helped. Kiara replied "No".

Rhett spoke that if that is the edition that we are going to use, then we need to amend the By-Laws to state as much.

Jon spoke that the lodge chief has had several issues that have been raised inside and outside of the meetings. He states that the Lodge Chief has dictated the lodge, consistently undermined fellow officers and chairmen, and has lacked communication and transparency to many members of our lodge. He then moved that the LEC impeach Grayson Lightfoot as lodge chief.

Daniel Hanson spoke again and said that as staff advisor, he was stepping in as this does not in-line with the scout oath and law. He said that as supreme chief of the fire,

he had 51% of the vote and could step in and stop this, and that he could ultimately shut this lodge down. He stated he didn't want to.

Abby stated that this motion was not debatable.

Rebecca then asked if he wanted to silence the youth.

Joe Walsh steps in and states that we just discussed not silencing the youth's voice, and that Daniel Hanson was doing just that by overruling what the youth were saying.

Daniel Hanson stated that no one had brought this to him prior to the meeting

Kiara stated that Jon had just listed a large number of reasons, not exclusive to this LEC.

Brady Tackett stated that Mr. Hanson had not been to LEC meetings in the past. He stated that this is the first time a lot of people who have been on the LEC for a while have seen him. He states that he struggled to get a hold of Mr. Hanson and that it is the Key 2 for a reason as Daniel Hanson was not being active. He states that this is the first time Mr. Hanson was active, and he seems to just be here to say 'no' to the youth.

Jon Hall Jr. stated again that this motion was not debatable. That there were at least seven seconds to the motion, and if Mr. Hanson was here to shut the LEC down, then why are we here.

Mr. Hanson stated again that this was not brought to the key 3 prior to today.

Scott Skees stated that this was brought to his attention before, but he advised against this action. The lodge voted for Grayson Lightfoot as lodge chief. He stated that Mr. Hanson gave the LEC advice and to use it. He said that at past LEC meetings, he advised them to move forward with trustworthy service. He said to bring forth a document to the LEC.

Taylor spoke up on the Zoom that she was listening to the meeting and made a motion to take a 5 minute break for everyone to collect their thoughts and cool down. The motion passed.

After recess,

Grayson, Lodge Chief, called the LEC meeting back to order.

Dylan called for a count of who was back in the meeting room.

The lodge advisor, Angel Mattingly stated that severe weather was predicted for the Western Area and that this meeting needed to wrap up by 12 00 EST.

Grayson asked for a motion to table the motion to the next LEC meeting.

Xavier made a motion to table the impeachment motion.

It was seconded by Logan.

Abby stated that the original motion to impeach was not debatable.

Dylan asked Grayson to step out of the room.

Angel Mattingly stated that the motion needs to be tabled until the May LEC because of time constraints due to weather and that Grayson needs the opportunity to defend himself and prepare a rebuttal.

Dylan spoke that no one is to defame or put down another scout between now and then.

Abby spoke about the definition of defamation - spreading false information about someone to ruin their reputation.

Clarrissa Alif spoke that Grayson deserves the right to defend himself. She said how unfair it would be to adhere to Robert's Rules of Order in that manner to impeach the Lodge Chief without giving them a chance to speak. She said "Be more".

Angel Mattingly also stated that she felt like Grayson deserved the right to speak and defend himself, and in the interest of time, recommended tabling that motion until the May LEC.

Grayson Lightfoot said that in the interest of time, we would table new business until the next LEC.

Xavier said that the jamboree flap was in the LEC channel and he stated that it would be simple to approve.

Motion to approve flap

Motion Austin, second Dylan. Motion passed.

Proposed calendar - 2027 as written

Motion - Rhett

Second - Aydan

Motion passed

Angel - won't have new induction experience until Dec. 2026

5) New Business

- | | |
|--|-------------------|
| a) Spring Powwow SSC | Dylan Skees |
| b) Ordeal member Late fee | Grayson Lightfoot |
| c) Jamboree Flap | Xavier Norris |
| d) Uniform Expectations | Grayson Lightfoot |
| e) Key 3 inductions rollout seminar info | Grayson Lightfoot |
| f) Lodge induction experience WB/LLD | Grayson Lightfoot |

g) 2027 Calendar [LINK](#)

Grayson Lightfoot

6) Staff Adviser's Minute

Daniel Hanson

He reiterates the mission and the vision of the organization.

7) Lodge Adviser's Minute

Angel Mattingly

She states that she is honored to serve as the Lodge Advisor and looks forward to working with everyone. She said we are somewhat fractured, but she still looks forward to working together.

8) Closing

Grayson Lightfoot

Motion to adjourn - Austin

Second Brice

Motion passed.